

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/768,991 01/23/2001 Gary K. Michelson 101.0101-00000 4198 22882 10/23/2002 **MARTIN & FERRARO EXAMINER** 14500 AVION PARKWAY PHILOGENE, PEDRO SUITE 300 CHANTILLY, VA 201511101 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3732

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/768,991	MICHELSON, GARY K.
	Examiner	
	Pedro Philogene	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	3732
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a fix NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by storage and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	NTH(S) FROM  by be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  15 from the mailing date of this communication
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	23 August 2002 .	
	This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unce Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal matter der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-152</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-152</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	d/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the F	Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	σ(α) · (α) οι (ι).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the pricational B	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demostic priority and a 25-14-2-2-3-4-4-3-4-4-3-3-4-4-3-3-4-4-3-3-4-4-3-4-4-3-4-4-3-4-4-4-3-4-4-4-4-3-4		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
ttachment(s)	,, under 00 0,0,0, 99 1	20 aliu/01 (2),
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office  O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	

Art Unit: 3732

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14,17-34,37-52, 55-71,74-91,94-109,112-152 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraser (6,432,106) in view of Benzel et al (6,214,005).

With respect to claims 1,26,44,62,81,100, Fraser discloses a spinal implant (10) for insertion at least in part across at least the height of a disc space between adjacent vertebral bodies (52,54), the implant comprising opposed upper and lower surfaces (16,18) adapted to be placed toward and in contact each of the adjacent vertebral bodies, respectively from within the disc space; as best seen in figs. 7-9; a leading end (14) for insertion into the disc space and between the adjacent vertebral bodies; a trailing end (12) opposite the leading end, the trailing end having an exterior surface and an outer perimeter with an upper edge and a lower edge adapted to be oriented toward the adjacent vertebral bodies, respectively, as best seen in Figs. 1-9, the trailing end having a maximum height, as measured from the upper edge to the lower edge adapted to fit within the disc space and between the vertebral bodies adjacent to the disc space; as best seen in Figs 7-9; a plurality of bone screws receiving holes (36,38,40,42) in the trailing end.

Art Unit: 3732

It is noted that Fraser did not teach of at least one of the hole adapted to only partially circumferentially surround a trailing end of a bone screw adapted to be received therein, at least one of the bone screw receiving holes passing through the exterior surface and one of the edges so as to permit the trailing end of the bone screw to protrude beyond the one of the edges; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Benzel et al evidence the use of a plurality of bone screw holes adapted to only partially circumferentially surround a trailing end of a bone screw adapted to be received therein and passing through an edge to permit the trailing of the bone screw to protrude beyond the end of the edge to block movement of the implant, and thereby its associated bone portions.

Therefore, given the teaching of Benzel et al. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the partially circumferentially screw holes in the device Fraser to block movement of the implant, and thereby its associated vertebral portions.

As to claim 44, the trailing end being adapted to receive at least a portion of a bone screw passing therein that extends beyond the maximum height immediately adjacent thereto, is shown in FIG.8 of Fraser.

As to the perimeter having a gap, it is shown by Benzel et al. in Figs.7-10.

With respect to claims 2-14,17-25,26-34,37-43,45-52,55-61,63-71,74-80,82-91,94-99,101-109,112-148, the above combination of references discloses all the limitations as set forth in column 3-13, lines 1-67 of Benzel et al., and in column 2-4, lines 1-67 of Fraser.

Art Unit: 3732

Wit respect to claims 149-152, Fraser disclose a device wherein at least one of the bone screw receiving holes passes through the upper edge, and at least one of the bone screw receiving holes passes through the lower edge of the trailing end; as set forth in column 3, lines 1-12, and as best seen in Figs. 1 and 4.

Claims 15,16,35,36,53,54,72,73,92,93,110,111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraser (6,432,106) in view of Benzel et al (6,214,005) in view of Lowery et al (5,364,399).

With respect to the above claims, it is noted that the above combination of references did not teach of a lock for retaining at least one or a plurality of bone screws within an implant, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Lowery et al evidence the use of a lock to engage the heads of the screws and provide a rigid fixation of the screws to the implant.

Therefore, given the teaching of Lowery et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a lock in the device of Fraser/Benzel to engage the heads of the screws and provide a rigid fixation of the screws to the implant.

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See below.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention

Art Unit: 3732

where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation is found in Benzel et al column 12, lines 51-55.

## Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 305-3591 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Pedro Philogene October 18, 2002

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER